

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Petition of Verizon California Inc. (U 1002 C) for Arbitration of an Amendment to Interconnection Agreements with Competitive Local Exchange Carriers and Commercial Mobile Radio Service Providers in California Pursuant to Section 252 of the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended, and the *Triennial Review Order*.

Application 04-03-014  
(Filed March 10, 2004)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING  
TO NOTIFY CARRIERS THEY WILL BE BOUND BY THE OUTCOME  
OF THIS CONSOLIDATED ARBITRATION**

In the Commission's order closing the Triennial Review Nine-Month Phase,<sup>1</sup> the Commission states in Ordering Paragraph 1: "Any carrier that has a dispute with SBC over the terms of implementing change-of-law provisions of the TRRO [Triennial Review Remand Order] is authorized to be a party of record in the consolidated arbitration." I want to make it clear that this applies to Verizon California Inc (Verizon) as well. Any carrier with an interconnection agreement with Verizon,<sup>2</sup> which has a dispute over the change-of-law provisions

---

<sup>1</sup> Decision (D.) 05-07-043, July 21, 2005.

<sup>2</sup> The exceptions to this are described in Ordering Paragraph 4 of D.05-07-043, which states as follows: "The Assigned Commissioners and ALJ in any currently pending individual Section 252 arbitration proceeding, such as for MCI and XO, shall determine whether continue to use the existing arbitration to resolve previously raise change-of-law issues, or whether any pending issues should be transferred to one of the consolidated arbitration dockets."

related to the FCC's Triennial Review Order (TRO) and TRROs, will be subject to the outcome of this proceeding. The Commission does not intend to conduct individual arbitrations to implement change-of-law provisions relating to the two FCC orders.

Carriers are not required to be parties to this proceeding, but they need to understand that they will be bound by the outcome of the proceeding. I will require Verizon to send a copy of this Ruling to each carrier with whom it has an interconnection agreement that would be subject to the change-of-law provisions arising from the TRO and TRRO so that any carrier that wants to take an active role in the proceeding can do so. Anyone that wishes to be placed on the Service List should contact the Commission's Process Office at [Process\\_Office@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Process_Office@cpuc.ca.gov) by October 21, 2005. Those already on the service list for this proceeding need not contact the Process Office. You will be retained on the service list.

**IT IS RULED** that:

1. Verizon California Inc. shall send a copy of this Ruling to all carriers with whom it has interconnection agreements that would be subject to the change-of-law provisions arising from the TRO and TRRO.
2. Any party that seeks to be added to the service list for this proceeding shall notify the Commission's Process Office by October 21, 2005.

Dated October 6, 2005, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ KAREN JONES  
Karen Jones  
Administrative Law Judge

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that I have by mail this day served a true copy of the original attached Administrative Law Judge's Ruling to Notify Carriers They Will Be Bound by the Outcome of This Consolidated Arbitration on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record.

Dated October 6, 2005, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ KE HUANG

Ke Huang

**N O T I C E**

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to ensure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.